arXiv:1605.02833v1 [math.PR] 10 May 2016

Random eigenvalues from a stochastic heat equation. *

Carlos G. Pacheco †

May 11, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we prove the convergence of the eigenvalues of a random matrix that approximates a random Schrödinger operator. Originally, such random operator arises from a stochastic heat equation. The proof uses a detailed topological analysis of certain spaces of functions where the operators act.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15B52, 47H40

Keywords: Stochastic heat equation, weak stochastic operator, random matrix, spectrum, eigenvalues.

1 Stochastic heat model

Stochatic partial differential equations (SPDE) has grown significantly in applied and pure mathematics. In particular, the stochastic heat equation is consider a natural model for different phenomena, see e.g. [11]. For these reasons it is natural to consider discrete approximation of these models, say, to have a numerical procedure to solve it. In Pacheco [6], it was proposed at random matrix to study a stochastic heat equation (SHE), or more precisely, to approximate the one-dimensional random operator associated to the SHE. In that paper it was proved weak convergence using the inner product, which was done by identifying the matrix with a composition using a projection.

In the current paper we prove the convergence of the spectrum, which in this case means the convergence of the eigenvalues. It is our objective to show how the eigenvalues of the

*This research was partially supported by the CONACYT.

†Departamento de Matematicas, CINVESTAV-IPN, A. Postal 14-740, Mexico D.F. 07000, MEXICO. Email: cpacheco@math.cinvestav.mx

random matrices converges to the spectrum of the stochastic operator. To do that, we use the variational formulae for eigenvalues of selfadjoint operators to connect with the min-max representation of eigenvalues in the Courant-Fisher theorem.

Let us talk about the stochastic equation and its operator. The SPDE that we have in mind is the following,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \beta \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + uw', \ t > 0, \ x \in [0,1], \tag{1}$$

where w' represents Gaussian space-time noise.

Then, we could concentrate in the following associated one-dimensional operator,

$$Lu := \beta \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + u \times b', \ x \in [0,1], \tag{2}$$

where b' is a Gaussian white noise on the interval $[0,1]$. Operator L is consider to be a random Schrödinger operator and one can properly define it using inner products, this is done in Definition 1.

The proposed random matrix in [6] to approximate L is $A_n :=$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{n+1}\xi_1 - 2\beta(n+1)^2 & \beta(n+1)^2 & & \\ \beta(n+1)^2 & \sqrt{n+1}\xi_2 - 2\beta(n+1)^2 & \beta(n+1)^2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & \beta(n+1)^2 & \sqrt{n+1}\xi_n - 2\beta(n+1)^2 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3}$$

where $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ are i.i.d. $N(0,1)$ r.v.s.

Loosely speaking, consider the operator $L_n = A_n P_n$ which is the composition of a projection and the random matrix. The main result in [6] is the following convergence, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\langle L_n u, v \rangle \to \langle Lu, v \rangle$$

in mean square for every pair of functions u and v. It turns out that the convergence just described does not imply the convergence of the spectrum.

Here, we are interested in proving convergence of the eigenvalues to the spectrum of L. In this study, it is not used the composition L_n, instead we calculate the eigenvalues and check that they approximate the spectrum of L.

We would like to mention that this work was motivated by the one in [7], where it is also study the convergence of the eigenvalues of a random matrix to the spectrum of a random operator.

1.1 One-dimensional operators

In this section we properly define the random operator we deal with, this is done following ideas taken from [9].

Now, in order to define L in a rigorous way, we first set the space

$$H_1 := \left\{ h \in L^2[0,1] : h \text{ absolutely continuous, } h' \in L^2[0,1],\ h(0) = h(1) = 0 \right\}, \qquad (4)$$

which is dense (see for instance Example 1.11 of Chapter X in [3]) in the Hilbert $H := L^2[0,1]$. It is also known (see e.g. [8]) that H_1, with the norm $\|h\| := \|h\|_2 + \|h'\|_2$, is a Sobolev space, which is in fact a separable Hilbert space, and as such it has a countable orthonormal base; we will refer to this base when proving Theorem 5, specifically in Lemma 9.

Using integration by parts, we can define L by defining $\langle Lu, v\rangle$, for every $u, v \in H_1$. Here $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ stands for the inner product in L_2 and we will also write $\| \bullet \|$ for the norm in L_2.

Definition 1 *The operator L associated to the expression*

$$Lu := \beta \frac{d^2u}{dx^2} + u \times b',\ x \in [0,1], \qquad (5)$$

with b' being the white noise on $[0,1]$, is defined weakly in the following way. For every $u, v \in H_1$

$$\langle Lu, v\rangle := -\beta \int_0^1 u'(x)v'(x)dx + \int_0^1 u(x)v(x)dB(x), \qquad (6)$$

where B is a Brownian motion on $[0,1]$.

Another useful way to write L, using Itô's formula, is

$$\int_0^1 u(x)v(x)dB(x) = -\int_0^1 (u'(x)v(x) + u(x)v'(x))B(x)dx.$$

In fact, this expression was originally used in [4] to analyze the spectrum. We extract the following result from [4].

Theorem 2 ***(Fukushima and Nakao (1977)).*** *Consider the one-dimensional random Schrödinger operator*

$$L_0 := -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + b', \qquad (7)$$

defined weakly as follows. For every $u, v \in H_1$,

$$\langle L_0 u, v\rangle := \int_0^1 u'(x)v'(x)dx - \int_0^1 \{u'(x)v(x) + u(x)v'(x)\}B(x)dx.$$

Then L_0 has a discrete spectrum $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots\}$ and it can be calculated as

$$\lambda_k = \inf_{\substack{M_1 \subset H_1 \\ dim(M_1)=k}} \sup_{\substack{v \in M_1 \\ \|v\|=1}} \langle L_0 v, v \rangle. \tag{8}$$

We can adapt previous result to obtain the

Corollary 3 *L has a discrete spectrum $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots\}$, which can be obtained through*

$$\lambda_k \stackrel{(d)}{=} - \inf_{\substack{M_1 \subset H_1 \\ dim(M_1)=k}} \sup_{\substack{v \in M_1 \\ \|v\|=1}} \left\{ \beta \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx + \int_0^1 v^2(x) dB(x) \right\}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\beta} Lf &= -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} f - f w' \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{=} -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} f + f w'. \end{aligned}$$

That is, for all $u, v \in H_1$,

$$-\frac{1}{\beta} \langle Lu, v \rangle \stackrel{(d)}{=} \langle H_\beta u, v \rangle,$$

where H_β is defined as H but with a Brownian motion B_β with variance β^{-2}. Then, the eigenvalues of L can be calculated as those of H_β. Theorem 2 can be stated for H_β, and the eigenvalues of H_β become

$$\eta_k = \inf_{\substack{M_1 \subset H_1 \\ dim(M_1)=k}} \sup_{\substack{v \in M_1 \\ \|v\|=1}} \int_0^1 (v'(x))^2 dx + \int_0^1 v^2(x) dB_\beta(x).$$

Which defines in distributions the eigenvalues of L as $\lambda_k \stackrel{(d)}{=} -\beta \eta_k$, i.e. formula (9). ■

1.2 Weak convergence

Originally, the matrix A_n came from the matrix

$$A_n := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{X_1^{(n)}}{\Delta x} - 2\beta \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^2} & \beta \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^2} & & \\ \beta \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^2} & \frac{X_2^{(n)}}{\Delta x} - 2\beta \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^2} & \beta \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^2} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & \beta \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^2} & \frac{X_n^{(n)}}{\Delta x} - 2\beta \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, $\Delta x = 1/(n+1)$ is the size partition of the space, and $X_i^{(n)}$ are independent normal random variables with mean 0 and variance Δx. Moreover, if $u : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is some well behaved function, we can construct the vector $v := (u(x_1), \ldots, u(x_n))^T$, where $\{x_j, j = 1, \ldots, n\}$ represents the partition of the interval $[0,1]$ in subintervals of size Δx; T means the traspose. Then, the i entry of the multiplication $A_n v$ is given by

$$[A_n u]_i := \beta \frac{u(x_{i+1}) - 2u(x_i) + u(x_{i-1})}{(\Delta x)^2} + u(x_i) \frac{X_i^{(n)}}{\Delta x}. \tag{10}$$

Let us give precisely the specifications of the partitions. Let $\Delta^{(n)} = 1/(n+1)$ and $\Pi_n := \{x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)}, \ldots, x_{n+1}^{(n)}\}$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ be a sequence of partitions such that $|x_{k+1}^{(n)} - x_k^{(n)}| = 1/(n+1)$, with $x_0^{(n)} = 0$ and $x_{n+1}^{(n)} = 1$. Thus $u(x_0^{(n)}) = u(x_{n+1}^{(n)}) = 0$ for every $n \geq 1$ and $u \in H_1$. Now, let $H_1^{(n)} \subset H_1$ be the linear subspace of stepwise functions v which are constant on the intervals $[x_i^{(n)}, x_{i+1}^{(n)})$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$, and let

$$P_n : H_1 \to H_1^{(n)}$$

be the projection that associates to any function $u \in H_1$ a stepwise right continuous function u_n that takes the values $u(x_k^{(n)})$, i.e.

$$u_n(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & x \in [0, x_1^{(n)}) \\ u(x_i^{(n)}) & x \in [x_i^{(n)}, x_{i+1}^{(n)}), i = 1, \ldots, n \\ 0 & x = x_{n+1}^{(n)} = 1. \end{cases}$$

We now consider the operator $L_n := A_n P_n$ whose image in $H_1^{(n)}$ are stepwise function coming from multiplying the matrix A_n to the n-vector associated to $u_n := P_n u$, with $u \in H_1$ at points $x_1^{(n)}, \ldots, x_n^{(n)}$. That is, if $v_n := (u_n(x_1^{(n)}), \ldots, u_n(x_n^{(n)}))^T$, then $L_n u$ is the step functions whose constant values are $A_n v_n$.

What it is now known is the

Theorem 4 *(Pacheco [6]) For every pair $u, v \in H_1$, $\langle L_n u, v\rangle \overset{n\to\infty}{\to} \langle Lu, v\rangle$ in mean square.*

1.3 Convergence of eigenvalues

In Theorem 4, using a projection and the matrix A_n it is consider a new operator L_n to prove weak convergence. Now, we simply want to calculate the eigenvalues of the matrix and see if they converge somehow to the spectrum of L.

Theorem 5 *The $k-$th eigenvalue $\lambda_k^{(n)}$ of A_n converges in distribution as $n \to \infty$ to the $k-$th eigenvalue of L.*

To obtain the result, without loss of generality let us take $\beta = 1$, hence it will suffice to prove the result for $-A_n$ and L_0 in (7). To facilitate notation we will omit superscript (n) in the partition and size-partition.

Lemma 6 *The eigenvalue $\lambda_k^{(n)}$ admits the following representation,*

$$\lambda_k^{(n)} \stackrel{(d)}{=} \inf_{\substack{M_1 \subset H_1 \\ dim(M_1)=k}} \sup_{\substack{g \in M_1 \\ 1=\sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)^2 \Delta x}} F_n(g), \tag{11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(g) &:= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{g(x_{i+1}) - g(x_i)}{\Delta x} \right)^2 \Delta x + \sum_{i=1}^n g(x_i)^2 X_i \\ &+ \frac{g(x_1) - g(x_0)}{\Delta x} g(x_1) + \frac{g(x_{n+1}) - g(x_n)}{\Delta x} g(x_n), \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $\{x_0, \ldots, x_{n+1}\} = \Pi_{n+1}$.

Proof. According to Courant-Fisher Theorem (see e.g. [10], pp. 268), setting $u(0) = u(n+1) = 0$, the eigenvalues of $-A_n$ can be calculated as

$$\lambda_k^{(n)} = \min_{\substack{M_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ dim(M_0)=k}} \max_{\substack{u \in M_0 \\ \|u\|=1}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{-1}{(\Delta x)^2} (u(i+1) - 2u(i) + u(i-1)) - u(i) \frac{X_i}{\Delta x} \right\} u(i), \tag{13}$$

for $n \geq k$.

Notice that $1 = \sum_{i=1}^n u(i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{u}^2(i) \Delta x$ with $u(i) = \tilde{u}(i)\sqrt{\Delta x}$. We can then substitute in (13), use that $-X_i \stackrel{(d)}{=} X_i$ and rewrite as done in [6, Theorem 6] to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k^{(n)} &\stackrel{(d)}{=} \min_{\substack{M_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ dim(M_0)=k}} \max_{\substack{\tilde{u} \in M_0 \\ 1=\sum \tilde{u}(i)^2 \Delta x}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\tilde{u}(i+1) - \tilde{u}(i)}{\Delta x} \right)^2 \Delta x + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{u}(i)^2 X_i \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{\Delta x} \{ (\tilde{u}(1) - \tilde{u}(0)) \tilde{u}(1) + (\tilde{u}(n+1) - \tilde{u}(n)) \tilde{u}(n) \} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since we always have $\tilde{u}(0) = \tilde{u}(n+1) = 0$, there is $g \in H_1$ which coincides with the $n+2$ vector $\tilde{u}$ when evaluating at an equidistant partition Π_{n+1}. Conversely, for every $g \in H_1$ there exists a $n+2$ vector whose entries are the values of g at Π_{n+1}. Then we can change $\min\{M_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n, dim(M_0) = k\}$ by $\inf\{M_1 \subset H_1, dim(M_1) = k\}$ and write down expression (11). ■

Remark 7 *We can give the following interpretation of $F_n(g)$ of previous result. For the first sum, project first g into a piecewise linear function by joining the points $g(x_0), \ldots, g(x_{n+1})$ with straight lines (call this projection $\hat{g}$), and then calculate*

$$\int (\hat{g}'(x))^2 dx.$$

For the second sum, project first g into a stepwise function with constant values given by $g(x_0), \ldots, g(x_{n+1})$ (call this projection $\bar{g}$), and then, taking into account Section 1.2, calculate

$$\int (\bar{g}(x))^2 dB(x).$$

For the last two terms we do not worry because they will vanish as the partition becomes finer; this is so because the quotients will converge to the derivatives and the evaluations of g to zero.

Our aim is to prove that when $n \to \infty$, $\lambda_k^{(n)}$ converges in distribution to (8), which is

$$\lambda_k = \inf_{\substack{M_1 \subset H_1 \\ dim(M_1)=k}} \sup_{\substack{g \in M_1 \\ \|g\|=1}} \int_0^1 (g'(x))^2 dx + \int_0^1 g^2(x) dB(x),$$

written shortly as

$$\lambda_k = \inf_{\substack{M_1 \subset H_1 \\ dim(M_1)=k}} \sup_{\substack{g \in M_1 \\ 1=\sum g(x_i)^2 \Delta x}} F(g), \tag{14}$$

where

$$F(g) := \int_0^1 (g'(x))^2 dx + \int_0^1 g^2(x) dB(x). \tag{15}$$

Now we proceed to realize what really is the space where one is taking supremum.

For any subspace $M_1 \subset H_1$ of dimension k, it is known that the set

$$S := \{g \in M_1 : \|g\| = 1\}$$

is homeomorphic to the k dimensional sphere

$$S^{k-1} := \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k : \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i^2 = 1 \right\},$$

which is denoted by the map $\gamma : S^{k-1} \to S$. We will check that the set

$$S_n := \{g \in M_1 : \sum_{i=1}^{n} g(x_i)^2 \Delta x = 1\}$$

is also homeomorphic to S^{k-1}.The following result will tell us how S_n becomes S as the partition becomes finer, and ultimately that F_n in (12) converges pointwise to F in (15).

Lemma 8 *Consider $M_1 \subset H_1$ with $dim(M_1) = k$. Then, there is an homomeorphism $\gamma^{(n)} : S^{k-1} \to S_n$. Furthermore, let $g := \gamma(\alpha)$ and $g_n := \gamma^{(n)}(\alpha)$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ for each $\alpha \in S^{k-1}$. Then*

$$F_n(g_n) \to F(g) \text{ in mean square as } n \to \infty.$$

Proof. Let $E := \{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$ be a orthonormal base of M_1. Let $g_n \in M_1$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} g_n^2(x_i)\Delta x = 1$. Since E is a base, there is $\alpha_n := (\alpha_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \alpha_k^{(n)})^T$ such that $g_n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i^{(n)} e_i$. Thus

$$1 \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j^{(n)} e_j(x_i) \right)^2 \Delta x = \alpha_n^T U_n U_n^T \alpha_n,$$

where

$$U_n := \sqrt{\Delta x} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(x_1) & \ldots & e_1(x_n) \\ \vdots & & \\ e_k(x_1) & \ldots & e_k(x_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Define $f_n(\alpha) := \alpha^T U_n U_n^T \alpha$, which is a $\mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous function. Since f_n has k parameters, the set $\tilde{S}_n := f_n^{-1}(1) \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ is homeomorphic to $S_n$and to S^{k-1}. These facts help to see that there is an homomeorphism $\gamma^{(n)} : S_n \to S^{k-1}$.

Now, for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ notice that the (i, j)-entry of the matrix $U_n U_n^T$ is of the form $\sum_{r=1}^{n} e_i(x_r) e_j(x_r) \Delta x$. So

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} U_n U_n^T(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j. \end{cases}$$

The convergence is uniform in i and j because the number of pairs (i, j) is finite. Then

$$\alpha^T U_n U_n^T \alpha \to \|\alpha\| \text{ as } n \to \infty, \tag{16}$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Therefore $\tilde{S}_n$ is deformed into S^{k-1} when $n \to \infty$. More precisely, let $\alpha \in S^{k-1}$ and take the associated $\rho_n := (\rho_1^{(n)}, \ldots, \rho_k^{(n)})^T \in \tilde{S}_n$. Then $\rho_n \to \alpha$ as $n \to \infty$ in the Euclidean norm.

From the above convergence, let us check that $g_n \overset{L_2}{\to} g$. Indeed, this is so because

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 (g_n(x)-g(x))^2 dx &= \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \rho_i^{(n)} e_i(x) - \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i e_i(x)\right)^2 dx \\ &\leq k\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\rho_i^{(n)}-\alpha_i\right)^2 \int_0^1 e_i^2(x)dx = k\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\rho_i^{(n)}-\alpha_i\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we can prove that $g_n' \overset{L_2}{\to} g'$. Furthermore, recalling Remark 7, one can check that $\hat{g}_n \overset{L_2}{\to} g$ and $\bar{g}_n \overset{L_2}{\to} g$, which also helps to show that

$$\int (\hat{g}_n'(x))^2 dx \to \int (g'(x))^2 dx, \text{ and that } \int (\hat{g}_n(x))^2 dB(x) \overset{L_2}{\to} \int (g(x))^2 dB(x).$$

Therefore for each $\alpha \in S^{k-1}$, $F_n(g_n) \overset{L_2}{\to} F(g)$ as $n \to \infty$; ■

Now we need the following ingredient. Let us now identify the set where the infimum is being taken in the representations (11) and (14) of the eigenvalues. That is, in the set

$$\mathcal{M} := \{M_1 \subset H_1 : dim(M_1) = k\}.$$

Let B be the unit sphere in l_2. It turns out that $\mathcal{M}$ has naturally a topology inherited from the product-topology of

$$B_k := \underbrace{B \times \ldots \times B}_{k \text{ times}}. \tag{17}$$

More specifically:

Lemma 9 *The set $\mathcal{M}$ has a relatively compact topology with a countable dense subset.*

Proof. Let $V := \{h_1, h_2, \ldots\}$ be a countable orthonormal base of H_1. Take any $M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$, which is generated by k elements of H_1 of norm 1, say $E := \{e_1, \ldots, e_k\}$. Each $e \in E$ is a linear combination of V, that is $e = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i h_i$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots)$ is an element of the set of square summable sequences in l_2 such that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i^2 = 1$. Name as $B \subset l_2$ this set of α's, i.e. the unit sphere.

Take B_k in (17) and notice that for any point of B_k one can construct an associated subspace M_1 of dimension $\leq k$, and any $M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ of dimension $\leq k$ can be associated to a point in B_k. However, two different points of B_k can generate the same base E. Let us give the precise description of $\mathcal{M}$.

First, let B_{-k} be the set of k-tuples in B_k that produce a base E with $dim(E) < k$ (i.e. linearly dependant k-tuples), and define $V_k := B_k - B_{-k}$. However, given a tuple $\hat{\alpha} \in B_k$

such that the generated base is of dimension $< k$, one can realize that any open set of B_k containing $\hat{\alpha}$ has a tuple that generates a k-dimensional base. This implies that V_k is dense in B_k. Now, identify in equivalent classes from V_k all the $k-$tuples that produce the same base E with $dim(E) = k$ (i.e. permutations of a k-tuple), so that in the end $\mathcal{M}$ is a quotient space of V_k.

We proceed to identify what the space B really is. It turns out that B is homeomorphic to

$$P := (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1) \times \dots$$

with the product-topology, see Proposition 10.1 of [1]; in that reference the set P is described in the Introduction and B in page 10.1.

It is known that the closure of P is

$$Q := [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \times \dots,$$

see Theorem 19.5 in [5]. Therefore, by the Tychonoff Theorem, B is relatively compact, and therefore so is B_k. Finally, it is known that Q is second-countable, which implies that it is separable. These properties of B_k are inherited by the quotient space V_k, that is to say by $\mathcal{M}$. ■

Now, let us join previous pieces for the proof of our main theorem.

Proof. (**of Theorem 5**) From Lemma 6 our aim is to see that

$$\lambda_k^{(n)} \to \lambda_k \text{ in distribution as } k \to \infty,$$

for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$, where the eigenvalues admit the representations (11) and (14).

In Lemma 8 we proved that $(\forall \alpha \in S^{k-1}) F_n(g_n) \to F(g)$, where g_n and g are defined through plugging α into the homomorphisms γ_n and γ, respectively. Abusing of the notation let us shortly write $F_n(\alpha) := F_n(g_n)$ and $F(\alpha) := F(g)$.

From this convergence, for each fixed α, we can extract almost surely convergent subsequences. Now, let us go a step further. Let D be a dense numerable subset of S^{k-1}. Using the Cantor's diagonal procedure, we can extract a subsequence $n_1, n_2, \dots$ such that almost surely

$$\forall \alpha \in D,\ F_{n_j}(\alpha) \to F(\alpha),\ as\ j \to \infty. \tag{18}$$

This is performed in the following way. Consider that $D = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$. For α_1 take the infinite set $I_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $F_n(\alpha_1) \to F(\alpha_1)$ when $n \in I_1$ and $n \to \infty$. Now, call n_1 the first element of I_1. In a similar way, for α_2 take an infinite set $I_2 \subset I_1$ such that $F_n(\alpha_2) \to F(\alpha_2)$ when $n \in I_2$ and $n \to \infty$. Now, call n_2 the first element of I_2 with $n_2 > n_1$. Continuing with this procedure one can construct a sequence of numbers $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ where (18) happens.

Now, since D is dense and relatively compact, it should happen that almost surely

$$\tilde{F}_{n_j}(M_1) := \sup_{\alpha \in S^{k-1}} F_{n_j}(\alpha) \to \tilde{F}(M_1) := \sup_{\alpha \in S^{k-1}} F(\alpha),\ j \to \infty. \tag{19}$$

The writing $\tilde{F}(M_1)$ is to emphasize that this is done for M_1 fixed.

To see (19), suppose that $\lim_j \tilde{F}_{n_j}(M_1) \neq \tilde{F}(M_1)$. Since D is dense, we can take $\alpha^*_{n_j} \in D,\ j = 1, 2, \ldots$ such that $F_{n_j}(\alpha^*_{n_j})$ is as close as we wish to $\tilde{F}_{n_j}(M_1)$, and we can also take $\alpha^* \in D$ such that we are as close as we wish to $\tilde{F}(M_1)$. Hence, from the relative compactness of D, one can extract a subsequence $\{n_r\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ from $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that the sequence $\alpha^*_{n_r},\ r = 1, 2, \ldots$ converges. And from this one can derive a contradiction for the very definition of the supremum, either for $\sup F_{n_r}$ for some r big enough or for $\sup F$.

So far we have proved that for any $M_1 \in \mathcal{M}$ fixed, there is a subsequence $\{n_r,\ r = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ from the original one $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that $\tilde{F}_{n_r}(M_1) \to \tilde{F}(M_1)$ almost surely, as $r \to \infty$. Going one step further, we now want to see if we can extract a new subsequence $\{n_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ from $\{n_r\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\inf_{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}} \tilde{F}_{n_m}(M_1) \to \inf_{M_1 \in \mathcal{M}} \tilde{F}(M_1),\ m \to \infty, \tag{20}$$

almost surely. And here is where we use the Lemma 9, as it tells us that $\mathcal{M}$ can be seen as a relatively compact space with a countable dense subset. If we can check that the functions $\tilde{F}_{n_m}$ and $\tilde{F}$ are continuous, then we can use the same method for the supremum to extract the desired subsequence.

Let us argue how $\tilde{F}$ is continuous, because for $\tilde{F}_{n_m}$ is the same idea. Suppose that we are told that $M_1^{(n)} \to M_1,\ n \to \infty$. When looking at the proof of Lemma 9, we see that each $M_1^{(n)}$ is constructed using the same base V, and this construction is through elements in l_2, the coefficients of the linear combination. These coefficients converge in l_2 to those determining M_1. This tells us that there are homeomorphisms $h_n : S \to S^{(n)}$, where $S^{(n)}$ is the unitary ball of $M_1^{(n)}$ and S of M_1, such that $h_n(g) \to g$ for each $g \in S$. Therefore, using the same method for the supremum described above,

$$\tilde{F}(M_1^{(n)}) = \sup_{g \in S^{(n)}} F(g) = \sup_{g \in S} F(h_n(g)) \to \sup_{g \in S} F(g) = \tilde{F}(M_1),\ n \to \infty.$$

In the same manner we establish the continuity of $\tilde{F}_{n_m}$, which ultimately validates the limit (20).

The general conclusion is that given any sequence from $\{\lambda_k^{(n)},\ n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$, we can extract a subsequence that converges in distribution to the same law, namely the one of λ_k. Therefore, due to Theorem 2.6 of [2], the whole sequence converges in distribution. ■

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Jesús González, his former teacher in topology, for the help with some topological issues.

References

[1] C. Bessaga (1969). *Topics from Infinite Dimensional Topology: $\mathcal{K}$-skeletons and Anderson's Z-sets*, Lecture Notes Series No. 18, Aarhus Universitext.

[2] P. Billingsley (1999). *Convergence of Probability Measures* (2nd ed.), Wiley.

[3] J.B. Conway (2007). *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Springer.

[4] M. Fukushima and S. Nakao (1977). On spectra of the Schrödinder operator with a white Gaussian noise potential. *Zeitshrift für Wahrsheinlichkeitstheorie* **37**, pp. 267–274.

[5] J.R. Munkres (2000). *Topology*, (2nd ed.), Prentice Hall.

[6] C.G. Pacheco (2016). A random matrix from a stochastic heat equation. *Statistics and Probability Letters*. On line.

[7] J. Ramírez, B. Rider and B. Virág (2011). Beta ensembles, stochastic Airy spectrum, and a diffusion. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **24**, No. 4, pp. 919–644.

[8] K. Schmüdgen (2012). *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*, Springer.

[9] A.V. Skorohod (1984). *Random Linear Operators*, D. Reidel Publishing Company.

[10] F. Zhang (2011). *Matrix Theory: Basic Results and Techniques* (2nd ed.), Springer.

[11] J.B. Walsh (1986). An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. In *Ecole d'Eté de Prob. de St-Flour XIV*, Lectures Notes in Mathematics 1180, Springer, pp. 265–439.